

Bucksport Board of Appeals
7:00 P.M., Wednesday, July 15, 2009
Bucksport Town Office
50 Main Street

AGENDA

1. Call to Order

2. Roll Call

Jeremy Daigle, Chairman
 Richard Tennant
 Emery Deabay

Louis Levasseur
 W. Kim Delbridge

3. Review and Acceptance of Minutes: Minutes from the June 9, 2009 meeting.

Unfinished Business:

A. Administrative appeal of a decision of the Planning Board.
Applicant: Vaughn Thibodeau & Sons

A public hearing will be conducted during the review of this application.

4. New Business

5. Other Business

6. Adjournment

Bucksport Board of Appeals
7:00 P.M., Wednesday, July 15, 2009
Bucksport Town Office
50 Main Street

MINUTES

1. Call to Order: 7:03P.M. by Chairman Jeremy Daigle.

2. Roll Call

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jeremy Daigle, Chairman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Louis Levasseur
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Richard Tennant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> W. Kim Delbridge
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emery Deabay	

Staff present : Jeffrey Hammond, Code Enforcement Officer

3. Review and Acceptance of Minutes: Minutes from the June 9, 2009 meeting were reviewed.

MOTION(Deabay): To approve the June 9, 2009 Minutes as submitted.

SECOND(Daigle)

DISCUSSION: None

VOTE: 5-0 motion adopted

4. Unfinished Business:

A. Administrative appeal of a decision of the Planning Board.
 Applicant: Vaughn Thibodeau & Sons

A public hearing will be conducted during the review of this application.

Mary Denison was present to represent the applicant. Member Deabay asked if it would be possible to obtain a transcript of the planning board's discussion immediately prior to their votes on Criteria 1, 2, 7, 8, and 10. Ms Denison stated that the board would be provided with the transcripts prior to the next meeting. The chairman provided Ms Denison an opportunity to continue testimony on the appeal. Ms Denison suggested that the board proceed with the public hearing and asked that public comments pertain to the planning board's review of the criteria which are the subject of the appeal. Chairman Daigle reiterated that request prior to opening the hearing.

Public comments were submitted by the following people:

Bonnie Kline, 1718 State Route 46: Stated that persons of interest to this appeal were notified that comments made should be limited to the relevant criteria.

Denise Buckley, 1961 State Route 46: Commented that the planning board was correct in finding that noise standards would not be met, in finding that the unnamed stream would not be adequately protected, and in finding that undue traffic hazards would not be prevented.

Dr. Rosemary Bamford, 1671 State Route 46: Provided the board with documents in support of the planning board's findings concerning traffic hazards on Route 46.

Jan Courrier, 105 Bald Mountain Road, Dedham: Expressed concern that real estate values will be adversely impacted by the quarry. Drinking water quality will be harmed by uranium contamination. Quarries do not belong in a residential area.

Diego Castro, 1971 State Route 46: Stated that a lot in a residential subdivision will be inappropriately used for the access road to the quarry. Expressed concern about the noise of truck traffic and back-up alarms.

Sandy Taubinger, 2006 State Route 46: Lives near quarry entrance and expressed concern about noise from trucks.

Theresa England, 160 Mill Road, Dedham: Expressed concern about traffic safety on Route 46 in Dedham and the impact of noise on nearby residential properties and wildlife habitat.

Max Kline, 1718 State Route 46: Doubted that the number of truck trips will be limited to the stated 30 trips per hour if the product demand increases. Expressed concern about traffic accidents on Route 46. The road is dangerous.

Diego Castro, 1971 State Route 46: Asked how the truck activity will be monitored to ensure the limit is not exceeded.

Alicia Stevens, 2024 State Route 46: Expressed concern about speeding vehicles in the vicinity of the quarry entrance. Accidents will occur.

George Currier, 105 Bald Mountain Road, Dedham: Stated that Route 46 is an unsafe road. Believed the planning board should have required an accident report from the applicant.

Dean Mills, 1747 State Route 46: Expressed concern about inadequate police patrols on Route 46 and the speeding traffic. Also concerned about noise, especially from back-up alarms. Stated that an expert witness testified before the planning board that the proposed quarry can not be excavated without impacting water quality and quantity on abutting properties.

The board took a brief recess during the public hearing. Upon reconvening, no further comments from the public were heard. The chairman closed the public hearing at 8:44P.M.

Rosemary Bamford presented rebuttal to the applicant's testimony that traffic impact criteria were met. The board was provided with documentation in support of the planning board's decision to make a negative finding on traffic safety criteria.

Upon conclusion of Ms Bamford's presentation and questions from the board, review of the application was concluded for the evening and will be continued at the board's next regular meeting in August.

5. **New Business:** None
6. **Other Business:** None
7. **Adjournment:** 8:17P.M.

Minutes prepared by
Jeffrey Hammond
Recording Secretary